

## Review of Localism Programme

<b>Assets</b>		
<i>The Local Committee will agree a common strategy for the assets collectively owned within Mole Valley by both authorities. This strategy will set out common objectives for service delivery and identify objectives that could be achieved through a coordinated approach to asset use and disposal. Officers from both organisations will work to the Local Committee to deliver that strategy.</i>		
<b>Objectives</b>	<b>RAG</b>	<b>Comment</b>
A mechanism that allows for local members to reach a joint view and understanding of the assets collectively available and how they should be treated	✓done	
Mechanism to include establishment of a joint asset task group comprising elected members of both authorities and the lead officers. This group to act as representative of the authorities as landowners but to do so in the context of the core strategy (land use planning expression of community need)	✓done	
Clear identification of assets held by the two councils in Mole Valley and how they align with the community needs expressed through the core strategy	A	the focus has been on seeking efficiency through co-location  <b>there is an ongoing role to identify strategic land holding and feed into the Local Planning process</b>
<b>Youth</b>		
<i>Local Committee will develop a shared approach to joint commissioning of Youth Services at the local level. It will use this experience to establish an effective model for the local commissioning of other appropriate front line services. This will include establishing a clear idea of total resources currently available or deployed within Mole Valley by both councils and their partners</i>		
<b>Objectives</b>	<b>RAG</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Clear picture of existing provision and existing commissions by and from councils, other partners including the voluntary and faith sectors. To include budget figures	A	This work was overtaken by the YTP, but the concept of the Local Committee commissioning youth work was delivered.
Proposals for devolved commissioning to Local Committee – scope and service standards	✓done	

(devolved from both MVDC and SCC)		<b>There is a role for ongoing monitoring</b>
One or two tangible successes in terms of provision or savings		
<b>S106</b>		
<i>Use the current “live” example in Leatherhead as a case study to establish how the Local Committee can agree a common set of objectives and align the spending plans for each authority’s developer’s contributions. This case study will be used to test and establish a joined up approach for use in future schemes. This strategic local coordination of for each authority’s developer’s contributions. This case study will be used to test and establish a joined up approach for use in future schemes. This strategic local coordination of spend will develop alongside countywide work to optimise the overall level of developer contributions towards countywide and local infrastructure.</i>		
<b>Objectives</b>	<b>RAG</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Single democratic overseeing mechanism for section 106 spend in Mole Valley	A	This requires constitutional change
Details of Mole Valley developers contributions to be collated leading to an agreed pooled fund for local spend, overseen by Local Committee Leatherhead, exemplar project to present to the Local Committee options and recommendations to improve Leatherhead Town Centre, using Section 106 monies, for endorsement and agreement by no later than 3 March 2011.	A	S106 monies pooled for LHHS but design work has slowed for a period due to resource restraints
Examination of feasibility of more local cascading of developers contributions management responsibilities, for example to parish councils and resident’s associations	A	MVDC S106 monies available for cascade to other groups. <b>This work should continue</b>
Examination of the feasibility of extending developer tariff forward spending to other services over and above those who currently benefit from s106 receipt		Initial discussions regarding development of CIL have taken place <b>This should continue</b>
Until replacement of the CIL, by a localised developer tariff, section 106 contributions to continue to be sought wherever development opportunities arise, for highways, education and libraries and any Mole Valley services, in accordance with the necessary tests, but where possible the allocation of funding is not prescribed to allow Local Committee to influence local spend.		

<b>Street Scene</b>		
<i>Local Committee will develop a shared approach to joint commissioning of Street Scene services at the local level. It will use this experience to establish an effective model for the local commissioning of other appropriate front line services. This will include establishing a clear idea of total resources currently available or deployed within Mole Valley by both councils and their partners</i>		
<b>Objective</b>	<b>RAG</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Proposals for devolved commissioning to Local Committee – scope and service standards (devolved from both MVDC and SCC) (Jan 11)	A	This work has been overtaken by the verge cutting proposals
Proposals for devolved commissioning to more local community level such as parish councils and resident’s associations (Jan 11)	A	Initial discussion with RAs and Parishes have taken place
One or two tangible successes in terms of provision or savings (Mar 2011)		Outcomes include joint litter picking/grass cutting on A roads and the decluttering project. <b>This work should continue</b>
<b>School Confederations</b>		
<i>Local Committee will work with schools confederations in order to identify how successfully the preventative agenda is being delivered across Mole Valley (for example to reduce teenage pregnancy, incidence of pupils leaving school to be become long term unemployed or out of education (NEET's) or dependence on drug, alcohol and mental health services). This will include identifying ways to assist the schools confederations to overcome current barriers to success and identifying the total resources currently available to schools and partners</i>		
<b>Objectives</b>	<b>RAG</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Provide a clear conduit between new single schools confederation for Mole Valley and local elected members (Local Committee)		
Agree shared objectives based on common understanding of local need in response to preventative agenda		Local schools are connected through the LSP

Identify commissioning improvements – i.e. pooling or coordinating commissioning of services by confederation and local agencies (such as parenting course) (May 2011)		
Establish / support case for FE provision in north of district beyond 2013.		Additional skills are provided as part of the youth transformation project
Develop mechanism for Local Committee scrutiny of school place allocations	A	Report received from Local Education Officer
<b>LSP</b>		
<i>Local Committee will identify scope for mainstreaming the targeting of existing resources to better support the two priority places. This will involve quantifying the total level of resource currently being spent within these two areas by both authorities.</i>		
SCC and MVDC lead members appointed	✓done	
Brief members on remit and activity of the LSP	✓done	
Articulate MV model of relationship between strategic partnerships and direction of travel for discussion by Local Committee and to contribute to County Wide debate over single strategic bodies. (Sept 2010		Less emphasis on the need to have this debate.